Prevalence of ESBL producing MDR *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumonae* from clinical isolates of nosocomial hospital acquired infections
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**Abstract**

The impact of nosocomial infections (NIs) is an important public health concern due to emergence of new MDR strains of pathogens and approximately 5 to 10% of hospitalized patients are affected by NIs. 90,000 deaths per year in United States only warrant investigation. This study was a hospital based cross sectional study to determine the ESBL producing MDR nosocomial infection and their control from Jun 1st 2016 to Dec 31st 2017. 500 patients were investigated all demographic data was collected from patients with a history of hospital admission for ≥ 2 days. In this study out of total 500 patients, (62%) patients were female and (38%) were males, 19% isolates were *E. coli* and 18% were *K. pneumonae* all were MDR along with other pathogens. most of strains were showing 55%-85% resistance for more than one antibiotics. PCR studies show that SHV (32%) and TEM (18%) genes were found in ESBLs producing organisms. Due to importance of ESBL producing organisms and difficult treatment of infections caused by these bacteria rapid identification of ESBL producing strains should be adopted in enterobacteriacae, infection control strategies are recommended to adopt and warrant investigation.
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1. **Introduction**

The impact of nosocomial infections (NIs) is an important public health concern due to emergence of new strains of antibiotic resistant pathogens [1, 2]. Nosocomial infections (NIs) have increased and gained attention because of high isolation rates of multi drug resistant (MDR) organisms in admitted and out patients in hospitals with complicated infectious ailments [3,4]. The spread of multi drug resistant (MDR) bacteria into the community is crucial due to increased morbidity, mortality, healthcare costs and antibiotic use [5,6]. The main threat on the horizon is represented by Enterobacteriacae due to production of extended spectrum β-lactamases and is becoming increasingly prevalent in community [7,8]. It is reported that nearly a million people, or approximately 5 to 10% of hospitalized patients, are affected by nosocomial infections (NI) with a death rate of estimated 90,000 deaths per year in United States only, resulting high healthcare costs in managing nosocomial infections [9,10]. It is reported that 400,000 patients with hospital acquired infections (HAI) present with a resistant strain each year in Europe [11]. A prevalence survey in 2002 conducted by World Health Organization (WHO) showed an average of 8.7% of hospital patients had hospital acquired infection (HAI) [12]. This alarming situation warrant investigation.

*Corresponding author: Bukhari Nain Taara

Copyright © 2020 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.
The study was designed with specific objective to study the prevalence of nosocomial infection in public and private sector secondary, tertiary care hospitals and to identify the indigenous circulating ESBL producing MDR strains involved in nosocomial infections.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted at Private General Hospital located in Pakistan in collaboration with department of Clinical Microbiology Department of Dadabhoye Institute of Higher Education and IIDRL lab of "University of Karachi".

2.2. Study design

This study was a hospital based cross sectional study to determine the ESBL producing MDR nosocomial pathogens and their control from Jun 1st 2016 to Dec 31st 2017.

2.3. Sample size

During this study duration of one and half year’s almost 500 patients were investigated all demographic data was collected from patients with a history of hospital admission for ≥ 2 days.

2.4. Ethical considerations

The proposal was approved by the ethical review committee of Hospital and Universities as well.

2.5. Inclusion criteria

All patients admitted in hospital reporting with some infection and developed another infection during hospitalization were included in this studied.

2.6. Exclusion criteria

Patient who came of some infection and they not develop new infection during this study were excluded.

2.7. Recruitment of study subjects

Study subjects were identified and recruited from OPD as well as certain wards from where most of MDR cases were reported, meeting the criteria and gave consent to participate were included in the study.

2.8. Collection and transport of samples

Clinical samples collected from patients were transported to the lab in duplicate with in 12 hour of collection. Samples include Urine, Blood, Stool, Body fluid and Pus were transported in separate plastic bags to lab for processing and for detection of bacterial pathogens causing infection.

2.9. Isolation and characterization of bacterial pathogens

Using standard methods (CLSI) guidelines the pathogens were cultured on Blood agar, MacConkey’s agar, CLED (Cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient) agar, S.S (Salmonella Shigella) agar, Chocolate agar, SIM (Sulphur, indole and motility) medium, TS1 (triple sugar iron) agar, Simon citrate agar and Urease medium. Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed following Kirby Bauer disk diffusion test on Mueller Hinton agar. Susceptibility or resistance was tested against a panel of antimicrobial agents, which included AMC (Amoxicillin “10 μg”/Clavulanic acid), TZP (Tazobactam “110 μg”), CRO (Ceftriaxone “30 μg”), ATM (Aztreonam “30 μg”), MEM (Meropenem 10μg), IPM (Imipenem 10μg), CN (Gentamicin “30 μg”), C (Chloramphenicol “30 μg”), SXT (Co-trimoxazole), SCF (Salbactam “30 μg”/ Cefoperazone “75 μg”), VA (Vancomycin “30 μg”), E (Erythromycin “15 μg”), AK (Amikacin “30 μg”) and CIP (Ciprofloxacin “5 μg”) were used for the presence of enteric bacterial pathogens such as: Acinetobacter sp. Citrobacter freundii, Enterobacter sp. Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia, Morganella morganii, Proteus Vulgaris, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas sp. Salmonella typhi, staphylococcus aureus, and streptococcus pneumonia by culture technique.

All inoculated media plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Any bacterial growth was identified by gram staining and standard set of biochemical tests in addition to bacterial colonies morphological characteristics.
2.10. Statistical analysis
All data collected was analyzed using SPSS version 16.00. Pie and bar chart were also used to present the descriptive summary of the variables in graphical way.

2.11. Antibiotic susceptible of nosocomial bacterial isolated
Susceptibility testing was performed on isolates based on the Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion technique. [12]. The grades of susceptibility pattern were recognized as sensitive, intermediate and resistant by comparison of the zone of inhibition as indicated in Clinical laboratory and CLSI Guidelines. MDR was defined as acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories. [13].

2.12. Molecular characterization of nosocomial bacterial isolate

2.12.1. DNA extraction
DNA extraction following this method was performed. Individual isolated colony from Mac Conkey’s agar was inoculated into sterile nutrient broth. After overnight incubation (18-24 hours) the broth was sub-cultured for purity and simultaneously 1.5ml broth was used for DNA extraction. Briefly 1.5ml of turbid broth was transferred to sterile eppendorf vials and centrifuged at 10000 RPM for 10 minutes. The supernatant i.e. clear broth was discarded while the pellet was used for the extraction of DNA. For DNA extraction kit was used. Briefly the pellet was suspended in “200 μl” of PBS followed by addition of “50 μl” of Lysozyme. The tube was then incubated at 37°C for 15min. After incubation lysis buffer “400 μl” and proteinase K (“40 μl” of “10 mg/ml) were added and incubated for 10 minutes at 70°C after vortexing. The whole lysate was transferred into Pure Fast spin column and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 1min. The flow through was discarded and washing was performed two times using “500 μl” of Wash Buffer followed by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 1 minute. After washing flow through was discarded and the column was centrifuged for 2 minutes to remove any residual ethanol. DNA elution was performed by adding 100μl of elution buffer in the column followed by centrifugation for 1min. “1 μl” of extracted DNA was used for PCR amplification.

2.12.2. Polymerase chain reaction for TEM & SHV amplification
All identified E.coli and Klebsiella pneumonia were further characterized by using modern PCR technique, primers were used for molecular characterization of pathogenic strains.

2.12.3. Steps in PCR
The PCR procedure consists of following steps,

- “25μl” of reaction mixture contained “3 μl” of template DNA, “1 μl” MgCl₂, “0.17μl” of each primer, 1 unit of Tag DNA polymerase, “0.5 μl” dNTP Mix, “2 μl” 10x PCR buffer and “17 μl” dd H₂O.
- The reaction mixtures in the initial denaturation stage were heated at 96°C for five minutes and were amplified for 30 cycles using a gradient master cycler (Eppendorf, Germany)
- Each cycle was comprised of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C and 53°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for one minute.
- The final extension was performed at 72°C for seven minutes.

3. Results
In this study a total of 500 patients from January 2016 to June 2017, who developed with the nosocomial infection were recruited their samples were collected and transported to laboratory, processed and analyzed. Demographic data analysis showed that out of 500 samples from patients attending out door unit and hospitalized in Public and Private sector hospitals, (62%) patients were female and (38%) were males, who presented with MDR nosocomial infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Sample specimen in percentages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S#</th>
<th>Sample Types</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Body Fluid</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pus swab</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Spectrum of bacterial pathogens isolated from patients with nosocomial infection

Figure 2 Antibiotic susceptible of gram negative nosocomial bacterial isolates

4. TEM and SHV gene

In PCR method the distribution of TEM and SHV genes in isolated ESBLs producing organisms was analyzed. All *E.coli* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* were selected for PCR for identification of TEM and SHV genes. Isolates having both TEM and SHV genes were common (75.3%) whereas only 15 per cent isolates possessed TEM gene and 7.4 per cent SHV gene alone.
Table 3 List of Primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHV</td>
<td>5´-TCAGCGAAAAACACCTTG-3´ (FORWARD PRIMER)</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5´-CCCGCAGATACATCAACA-3´ (REVERSE PRIMER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>5´-GAGTATTCAACATTCCCTGTC-3´(FORWARD PRIMER)</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5´-TAATCATGAGGACCATCTCTC-3´ (REVERSE PRIMER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 PCR Results for SHV and TEM genes

Lane number 1, 2 and 3 are showing 471bp fragment of SHV gene .Lane number 5-9 are showing 861 bp fragment of TEM gene and lane 4 is showed the 100 bp DNA size marker.

Table 4 The prevalence of TEM and SHV gene in ESBLs producing organisms in hospitalized patients and out-patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E.coli</th>
<th>Klebsiella pneumoniae</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEM positive</td>
<td>SHV positive</td>
<td>TEM and SHV positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted patients</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor patients</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

Infection control measures or scheduled surveillance can reduce the incidence of nosocomial infections [12, 13]. Epidemiological studies showing data regarding the burden and existing resistance mechanisms, more than 2 million people or 5% to 10% of hospitalized patients are affected by nosocomial infections with an estimated 90,000 deaths with overall 29% mortality rate every year [13]. The mortality rate of our trauma/ surgical intensive care unit patients (27.3%) was higher than Thailand (20%) [130]. Where as in Ethiopia, the mortality rate was (9%) among admitted patients (aged 21–30 years old) [14]. This difference in resistance rates between Asian and European countries may be attributed to the presence of effective infection control policies, antibiotic resistance containment program, better regulation of drug production, restricted prescription and sale of antibiotics, however emergence and dissemination of multi-drug resistant strains among enterobacteracae like (ESBL) ß-lactamase producing E. coli, K. pneumonia, and many others is alarming and matter of concern. Severe consequences arise causing high medicine resistance, hospitalization cost and mortality of patients. According to demographic data analysis showed that male to female ratio (76%-33%) is very significant (Table No.2) as more number of females patients were enrolled in this study most...
probably due to frequent urinary tract infection (UTI) and gynecological problems among them. It was much lower in other reported studies from developed countries where almost 30% registered patients were female, where as in developing countries ratio is high probably due to low socioeconomic and low personal hygienic profile. The *E.coli* and *K.pneumoniae* were isolated more frequently when HAI are concerned they, are rated fourth and fifth most common reason of pneumonia and blood stream infections in Intensive care units, neonatal units and among immune compromised patients [15]. The organism’s potential to survive and colonize in hospital environment is linked to ESBL production the results does not correlate with the reported studies from developed countries where mostly sick persons acquiring nosocomial infections are more than 60 years of age [6,7]. Almost in 75% to 90% of the cases *E.coli* remains the leading cause in those patients who were hospitalized with a complicated urinary tract infection (UTI) and other ailments showing high resistance rate against commonly prescribe drugs. In this study (19%) *E. coli* were reported having high level of resistance rate (35% - 87% Figure No1, 2) against Augmentin, Ceftriaxone, Azithrnomean, Ciprofloxacin, Domiciling, Chloramphenicol, Celestin and Cotrimexazole (Fig No.2). The most probable reason might be the overuse of antibiotics in other ailments where it is not recommended as in viral and parasitic infections. In this study 18% *K. pneumoniae* ( Fig No.1) considered to be responsible for (94 - 26%) of all hospital acquired urinary tract infections blood stream infections globally increasing resistance trends reported to multi Studies from both developed and developing countries [18,19]. Regarding the epidemiology of extended spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL) producing *K. pneumoniae* and *E.coli* our findings are consistent with other studies [16].

In this study the ESBLs producing organisms (*E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*) were also positive for SHV (32%) and TEM (18%) genes and the frequency of SHV gene was higher than TEM gene that was different from results of another study [17]. However, further studies are required for finding the other genes in ESBLs producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* bacteria. ESBLs production monitoring is recommended to avoid treatment failure and suitable infection control in increasing drug resistance [18, 19].

6. Conclusion

Time for life saving antibiotics is running out due to resistance acquiring mechanisms. Antibacterial research and development pipelines are nearly dry, emergence and spread of MDR bacteria is fast. Due to importance of ESBL producing organisms and difficult treatment of infections caused by these bacteria rapid identification of ESBL producing strains should be adopted in enterobacteraciae, infection control strategies are recommended to adopt and warrant investigation.
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